AD-A120 385

ARIZONA UNIV TUCSON DEPT OF CELLULAR AND DEVELOPMEN--ETC F/6 6/20 PREVENTION AND TREATMENT OF VESICATION AND POISONING CAUSED BY --ETC DAMD17-80-C-0052

UNCLASSIFIED

| Out | Out



AD

Prevention and Treatment of Vesication and Poisoning Caused by Arsenicals

Annual Summary Report

H. V. Aposhian

February 1981

Supported by
U. S. Army Medical Research and Development Command
Fort Detrick
Frederick, Maryland 21701

Contract No. DAMD17-80-C-0052

University of Arizona Tucson, Arizona 85721

DOD Distribution Statement Approved for Public Release Distribution Unlimited

February 1986
Other requests for this document must be referred to the Commander, U.A. Army Medical Research and Pevelopment Command Atta: SGRD-SI
Fort Detrick, Prederick, Maryland 21701



The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.		3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
	AD-AIZ0385	
4. TITLE (and Subtitle)		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED
PREVENTION AND TREATMENT OF VESICA	TTON AND	Annual Summary Report
POISONING CAUSED BY ARSENICALS		February 1980-January 1981
TOTOGLES ON COLD DI AKBENTONES		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(e)		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
H. V. Aposhian, Ph.D.		DAMD17-80-C-0052
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
University of Arizona, Dept. of Ce	llular and	AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
Developmental Biology, Tucson, A	Z 85721	62734A.3M162734A875.AP.369
1. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS		12. REPORT DATE
U.S. Army Medical Research and Deve	alonment	February 1981
Command, Fort Detrick, Frederick, N	10 21701	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different		36
- MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(II different	from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS, (of this report)
		Unclassified
		154. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
6. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)		
Approved for public release; distr	ibution unlimit	ed
		į
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the ebetract entered in	Block 20, if different from	n Report)
		j
IS. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
		1
9. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and	identify by block number)	
Dimercaptopropanesulfonic acid		
Dimercaptosuccinic acid		
Lewisite		1
Arsenic Till 120		, ,
Arsenic FILL 1746 100 117	dentify by block number)	
Part I - PROTECTION OF MICE AGAINS		
2,3 DIMERCAPTO-1-PROPANE-	SULFONIC ACIDAN	ID DIMERCAPTOSUCCINIC ACID (DATE)
2.3 Dimercanto-1-propers15	omia and from a	
2,3 Dimercapto-1-propane-sulf	onic acid (DMPS)	, used by the Soviets since
1956 and virtually unknown in the	united States, i	s a water soluble analog of
British Antilewisite. DMPS and dis	mercaptosuccinio	acid (DMSA) are active
orally for the protection of mice	against the lett	
		(cop!t.)

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

(No. 20 con't.)

arsenite. They are effective whether given before or after the administration of NaAsO2. Although D-penicillamine and N-acetyl-DL-penicillamine are useful in the treatment of poisoning by other heavy metals, they are devoid of any protective action under these conditions.

Part II. - A QUANTITATIVE COMPARISON OF A NUMBER OF CHELATING AGENTS

The LD50 of NaAsO2 is 0.129 mmol/kg, sc, using white mice. The ip administration of the sodium salt of 2,3 dimercapto-1-propanesulfonic acid (DMPS) or meso-dimercaptosuccinic acid (DMSA) (0.80 mmol/kg) immediately after and 90 min after NaAsO $_2$ increases the LD50 of NaAsO $_2$ about 4.2- and 4.4-fold, respectively. Neither D-penicillamine nor N-acetyl-DL-penicillamine affects the LD50 of NaAsO2 under the same conditions. The LD50 of DMPS and DMSA in mice is 5.22 and $\bar{1}3.58$ mmols/kg, ip, respectively. The Effective Dose 50 for treating mice 10 min after receiving an LD100 of $NaAsO_2$ (0.15 mmol/kg) is 0.066 mmol/kg for DMPS and 0.065 mmol/kg for DMSA. The therapeutic index of DMSA against 0.15 mmol/kg NaAsO2 is 209. This is 2.6 times greater than that of DMPS. The explanation for this difference is that although DMSA is as effective as DMPS, it is less toxic. The LD50 of NaAsO2 was not increased by sodium diethyldithiocarbamate, a-mercaptopropionylglycine, DL-N-acetylhomocysteinethiolactone or monomercaptosuccinic acid. A series of polymercapto compounds, some having as many as four mercapto groups per molecule also did not protect against the lethality of NaAso'. There is extensive experimental and clinical information about DMPS and DMSA available in the Soviet and Chinese literature where these agents are known as Unithiol or Unitiol and succimer, respectively. We have had many of these papers translated for the USAMRDC.

CONCLUSION - It would appear that DMPS and DMSA warrant further experimental studies and eventually clinical trials for the treatment of intoxication by arsenic, especially against lewisite gas. These agents have been used in human therapy in the Soviet Union and China. Soviet investigators and West German investigators have recommended that it replace BAL for treatment of heavy metal poisoning.

Accessor Action on hile

SUMMARY

<u>Purpose:</u> to find ways to prevent vesication and poisoning caused by arsenicals.

Major method up to this stage: Protection of mice against the lethal effects of sodium arsenite.

Summary of results: Part I - PROTECTION OF MICE AGAINST THE LETHAL EFFECTS OF SODIUM ARSENITE BY 2,3 DIMERCAPTO-1-PROPANE-SULFONIC ACID

AND DIMERCAPTOSUCCINIC ACID

2,3 Dimercapto-1-propane-sulfonic acid (DMPS), was used by the Soviets since 1956 and virtually unknown in the United States, is a water soluble analog of British Antilewisite. DMPS and dimercaptosuccinic acid (DMSA) are active orally for the protection of mice against the lethal effects of sodium arsenite. They are effective whether given before or after the administration of NaAsO₂. Although D-penicillamine and N-acetyl-DL-penicillamine are useful in the treatment of poisoning by other heavy metals, they are devoid of any protective action under these conditions.

Part II - A QUANTITATIVE COMPARISON OF A NUMBER OF CHELATING AGENTS

The LD50 of NaAsO₂ is 0.129 mmol/kg, sc, using white mice. The ip
administration of the sodium salt of 2,3 dimercapto-1-propanesulfonic acid
(DMPS) or meso-dimercaptosuccinic acid (DMSA) (0.80 mmol/kg) immediately
after and 90 min after NaAsO₂ increases the LD50 of NaAsO₂ about 4.2-and
4.4-fold, respectively. Neither D-penicillamine nor N-acetyl-DL-penicillamine affects the LD50 of NaAsO₂ under the same conditions. The LD50 of
DMPS and DMSA in mice is 5.2 and 13.58 mmols/kg, ip, respectively. The
Effective Dose 50 for treating mice 10 min after receiving an LD100 of
NaAsO₂ (0.15 mmol/kg) is 0.066mmol/kg for DMPS and 0.065 mmol/kg for DMSA.

The therapeutic index of DMSA against 0.15 mmol/kg NaAsO₂ is 209. This is 2.6 times greater than that of DMPS. The explanation for this difference is that although DMSA is as effective as DMPS, it is less toxic. The LD50 of NaAsO₂ was not increased by sodium diethyldithiocarbamate, a-mercaptopropionylglycine, DL-N- acetylhomocysteinethiolactone or monomercaptosuccinic acid. A series of polymercapto compunds, some having as many as four mercapto groups per molecule also did not protect against the lethality of NaAsO₂. There is extensive experimental and clinical information about DMPS and DMSA available in the Soviet and Chinese literature where these agents are known as Unithiol or Unitiol and succimer, respectively. We have had many of these papers translated for the USAMRDC.

<u>CONCLUSION</u> - It would appear that DMPS and DMSA warrant further experimental studies and eventually clinical trials for the treatment of intoxication by arsenic, especially against lewisite gas. These agents have been used in human therapy in the Soviet Union and China. Soviet investigators and West German investigators have recommended that it replace BAL for treatment of heavy metal poisoning.

FOREWORD

In conducting the research described in this report, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, National Research Council (DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 78-23, Revised 1978).

Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of the Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Summary	2
Foreword	4
Table of Contents	5
Introduction	6
Materials and Methods for Part I	8
Materials and Methods for Part II	9
Results for Part I	10
Results for Part II	11
Discussion	13
Table 1	16
Table 2	17
Table 3	18
Table 4	19
Table 5	20
Table 6	21
Table 7	22
Table 8	23
Table 9	24
Table 10	25
Literature Cited	26
Glossary	32

INTRODUCTION

I number of metal binding agents have been available in the past for the treatment of heavy metal intoxication. For example, dimercaprol (BAL) (1), polyaminocarboxylic acids (2), D-penicillamine (3), and N-acetyl-DL-penicillamine (4) are used for the treatment of humans intoxicated by arsenic (5), lead (6), copper (3), mercury (7), or other heavy metals. Some of these drugs, however, are far from ideal. Since 1943 in the U.S., BAL has remained the drug of choice for the treatment of arsenic poisoning (5), but it has many disadvantages. It is not effective by mouth; its injection, im, is painful; and toxic reactions to it are not uncommon. In the case of the polyaminocarboxylic acids, most of them are ineffective when given by mouth. D-penicillamine has been life saving in the treatment of the inherited disorder hepatolenticular degeneration (3). After a number of years of clinical use, however, it is now evident that D-penicillamine exhibits serious nephrotoxic signs in some patients (49). Thus, there still remains a need for more specific, less toxic, orally active metal binding agents for use in experimental and clinical situations as well as against arsenic containing chemical warfare agents.

Recently, in Western Europe and the United States, there has been a rediscovery of and an increasing interest in the sodium salt of 2,3 dimercapto-1-propanesulfonic acid (DHPS), a water soluble analog of the lipid soluble BAL. The synthesis and metal binding activity of this compound have been reported by Petrunkin (9) in the Soviet Union. Since then, the activity of DMPS in treating intoxication by a number of different heavy metals has been reported, extensively, in the Soviet, Eastern European, and Chinese literature. It has been shown to be

effective in humans when given either by mouth, injection, or aerosol (10). It has been claimed to be an efficient antidote against mercury (10,11,12), arsenic (13), cobalt (14), organic lead (15), polonium (16), chromium (17), silver (13), and copper (19).

DMPS was unavailable in the West until its recent production by Heyl and Co. Its recent availability has encouraged investigators in West Germany. Norway and the U.S. to study the drug with renewed interest. The studies by Soviet investigators have been confirmed and extended in the case of mercury (20,21,22), arsenic (23), and cadmium (24). A pharmacokinetic study of this dimercapto compound has been reported by Klimova (25) and Gabard (26). The latter paper deals with the absorption and distribution of this water soluble BAL analog. In addition, the extracellular distribution of DMPS is demonstrated.

Another water soluble analog of BAL, meso-dimercaptosuccinic acid (DMSA) was first used in 1954 to increase the uptake of antimony in schistosomiasis therapy (27). The first report of its use to treat occupational intoxication by metals was from Peking and Shanghai in 1965 by Shih-Chun et al. (23). They reported that, in humans, DMSA was as affective as Ca EDTA in the treatment of occupational lead poisoning and as effective as DMPS in the treatment of occupational mercury poisoning, judged by increases in the uninary excretion of the offending metal. Its use in mice for acute and prophylatic treatment of arsenic poisoning was reported from Sverdlovsk by Okonishnikova (29) in 1965. The effectiveness of DMSA for treatment of mercury or lead intoxication has been confirmed and extended by a number of groups (30,31,32) as it has for arsenic (23,33), and for cadmium or zinc (34). Pharmacokinetic studies of ³⁵S-DMSA in the rat have been reported by Okonishnikova and Nirenburg (35) in 1974.

In the present paper, the relative effectiveness of a number of netal binding agents, with particular emphasis on DMPS and DMSA, are evaluated quantitatively by determining their activity in changing the LD50 of NaAsO $_2$ in nice. The therapeutic index of DMPS and DMSA has been determined. In addition, a number of other mercapto compounds, including a series of polymercapto agents, have been tested for their activity in protecting nice against the lethal effects of NaAsO $_2$.

MATERIALS AND METHODS FOR PART I

DMPS, DMSA, BAL, and N-acetyl-DL-penicillamine were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. DMPS was obtained also from Heyl & Co., Jest Berlin. D-penicillamine was a gift of Eli Lilly & Co. MC&B Reagent Grade sodium arsenite was used.

Male albino mice of the TEX: (ICR) strain were purchased from the Timeo Breeding Labs, Houston, TX. When used in the experiments, they weighed approximately 25-30 g. Food (Wayne Lab-Blox) and tap water were available ad libitum. However, if the chelating agent was to be given orally, the animals were fasted for the previous 12 hours. The animals were maintained at 22°C with 12 hours of alternating light and dark. The anount of NaAsO₂ injected was equal to the approximate LD₁₀₀. The concentration of the NaAsO₂solution was such that a 25-g nouse received 0.050 ml. The water soluble thiol compounts were dissolved in 0.9% saline immediately before use and the solutions were adjusted to pH 5.5. BAL was dissolved in corn oil. The concentration of the thiol solutions was such that a 25-g nouse received 0.10 ml by the intraperitoneal or oral route. For oral administration, curved 18 gauge oral feeding needles, purchased

from Popper & Sons, New Hyde Park, N.Y., were used. The experiments were performed on different days, with different batches of animals, to confirm and extend the results of previous experiments.

MATERIALS AND METHODS FOR PART II

Animals. Male mice of the Swiss CDL strain (randombred Albino) were obtained from Charles River Mouse Farms, Inc. At the time they were used in the experiments, they weighed approximately 25-30g. Food (Wayne Lab-3lox) and tap water were available ad libitum. The animals were maintained in an air conditioned facility with 12 hrs of alternating light and dark. They were observed and kept for 14 days after the NaAsO₂ injection.

Chemicals. DMPS in the form of its Na salt was a gift of Hayl and Co., Berlin. Since each molecule of NaDMPS has a molecu

Biological studies. The LD50 of NaAsO $_2$ was determined by injecting, so, various amounts of NaAsO $_2$ dissolved in 0.9% saline. The concentrations of the solutions were prepared so that a 25g animal would receive 0.050 ml. To determine the effectiveness of a compound in protecting against the lethal effects of NaAsO $_2$, the influence of the administration, ip, of that

compound on the LD50 of $NaAsO_2$ was determined. Solutions of the mercapto compounts were prepared immediately before use in 0.35 saline, adjusted to pH 5.5 using NaOH and the concentration adjusted so that a 25g nouse would receive 0.10 ml. DL-thiotic acid was dissolved using a 10% excess of a 5%, freshly prepared solution of NaHCO₃. The solution was then prought to volume with 0.9% saline. Injections were nate using a 0.25ml glass syringe with a No. 25 needle of 1/2 inch length.

Statistical analysis. Experimental results were analyzed using quantal response methodology. A logistic regression model was used to fit the experimental data and parameters were estimated using the BMDP program package of Dixon and Brown (35) on a CDC Cyber 175 digital computer. Median effective dose and corresponding 95% confidence intervals were estimated following Finney (37).

REGULTS - PART I

Mone of the mice injected with NaAsO₂ and saline survived (Table 1). The deaths occurred within 43 hours after arsenic administration. DMPS and DMSA were found to be potent protective agents against the lethal action of sodium arsenite (Table 1) when either agent is given intraperitoneally immediately after NaAsO₂. However, two other well-known, medically useful chelating agents, D-penicillamine and N-acetyl-DL-penicillamine, do not protect (Table 1) under these conditions. The results with these two sulfhydryl compounds are unexpected since there have been three reports of the usefulness of penicillamine in the therapy of arsenic poisoning of humans (33,39,40). However, the clinical reports were based on symptomatic relief. Objective criteria were lacking. None of the metal binding agents

listed in Table 1 is toxic, individually, at these doses, under the conditions of the present experiments (Table 1).

In addition, we have determined that DAPS or DASA need not be given immediately after $NaAsO_2$. The administration of either one of the compounds can be delayed at least 2 hours and still be effective (Table 2). Of even greater importance for any therapeutic or prophylactic potential is that DAPS or DASA is effective even when given orally and prior to the administration of the arsenic compound (Table 3). Under the present experimental conditions, they are effective as oral prophylactics against arsenic intoxication.

RESULTS - PART II

DMPS or DMSA increase the LD50 of NaAso. The LD50 of subcutaneously administered NaAso. Was found to be 0.132 and 0.127 mmol/kg in two separate experiments (Table 4). When the data of the two experiments were combined and used to determine the LD50, it was found to be 0.129 mmol/kg. The curve is remarkably steep, having a slope of 40.75, if the proportion survival vs dose model is used. The animals that did not survive usually died within three days after injection. When two ip injections of DMP3 (0.30 mmols DMP3/kg/injection) are given, one immediately following and the other 90 min after the NaAso. the LD50 of NaAso. is increased approximately 4.2-fold to 0.533 mmol/kg (Table 5). Under the same conditions, but using DMSA instead of DMPS, the LD50 of NaAso. is increased about 4.4-fold to 0.573 mmol/kg (Table 5). The increase with DMSA is only about 5% more than when DMPS is given. Since the LD50 of NaAso, plus DMP3 falls within the confidence interval of the LD50 of NaAso, plus DMSA, it

appears that the affect of DAPS and DASA on the LD50 of MaAsO $_2$ is essentially the same unter these experimental conditions.

It was also of interest to determine and compare the therapeutic index of DMPS and DMSA. The therapeutic index under these conditions was determined by dividing the LD5D of the dimercapto compound by its ED5D. The latter value is defined as the amount of dimercapto compound (mmol/kg) protecting 50% of the animals against the lethal effects of 0.15 mmol MaAsO₂ /kg. This dose of MaAsO₂, when given, kills 100% of the animals in this laboratory. The LD5D of DMPS, when given ip, was found to be 5.22 mmols/kg (Table/M). For DMSA, the LD5D is 13.53 mmols/kg (Table/M). When nice were given MaAsO₂ (0.15 mmol/kg) so and 10 min later were treated, ip, with different amounts of DMPS, the ED5D was found to be 0.056 mmol/kg. The therapeutic index for DMPS or DMSA under these conditions was 79 and 209, respectively. When the DMPS or DMSA was given 35 min after the MaAsO₂, the therapeutic index was found to be 36 and 115, respectively.

Other mercapto compounds. Other netal binding agents were also tested for their activity in protecting against the lethal effects of $NaAsO_2$. Neither D-pen nor N-Ac-DL-Pen changes the LD50 of $NaAsO_2$ significantly at the 35% level of significance (Table $\frac{q}{h}$). Other agents (data not shown) that were also found to be ineffective in this respect are the sodium selt of diethyldithiocarbanate, α -mercaptopropionylglycine,

DL-Y-acetylhomocysteinethiolactone, and monomercaptosuccinic acid.

In general, it has been accepted that a compound with significant antidotal action for arsenic toxicity should have 2 thiol groups. A series of polymercapto compounds having from 2 to 4 mercapto groups per molecule have been obtained and tested for protecting mice against $NaAsO_2$. None of

the 7 polythiol compounds (Table 10) were active in protecting mice against an approximate L0100 of ${\rm YaAsO}_2$.

DIGCUSSION

The assay of agents that bind and/or mobilize heavy netals can be based on a number of different measurable responses. The basis of one type of assay is the prevention or reversal of the lethal or toxic effects of the particular heavy metal. A second assay is based on the increased excretion of the netal by the putative netal binding agent. There is, however, increasing evidence that supports still another mechanism. Namely, a metal binding agent sometimes forms an insoluble netabolically-inert complex with the netal. The complex, because of its insolubility, is not excreted from the body. It remains in the cell, metabolically-inert and non-toxic. Therefore, it is possible that some metal binding agent might be life saving without increasing the excretion of the metal. This mechanism has been proposed by Catsch and Harnuth-Hoene (41) to explain the effectiveness of N-acetyl-DL-penicillamine. For these reasons we chose, as the basis of the assay used in the present work, the prevention of the lethal action of NaAsO, . Eventually a quantitative comparison will be made of these agents as to their influence on the excretion of 74As.

The results of the experiments reported in this paper clearly show the beneficial effects of DMPS and DMSA in protecting against the lethal effects of ${\rm NaAsO}_2$. Either compound increases by about fourfold the LD50 of ${\rm NaAsO}_2$ (Table 2). The ED50 of the two dimercapto compounds is approximately the same when given 10 min after ${\rm NaAsO}_2$ (Table 8). A definite difference exists, however, between the LD50 of 5.22 mmols/k3 for DMPS and

13.53mmols/kg for DMSA (Tables 3 and 4). This is the reason that the therapeutic index for DMSA is about 2.6 times greater than that for DMPS under these conditions (Table 5). The therapeutic index of either DMPS or DMSA, 79 and 209, respectively, under these conditions, however, is not small. It is conceivable that the therapeutic index might be even greater if smaller doses were given more frequently. The ED50 of DMPS given 10 min or 35 min after NaAsO₂ are essentially similar to each other as well as being similar to the ED50 of DMSA administered 10 min after NaAsO₂. All of these differed from the ED50 of DMSA given 35 min after NaAsO₂ indicating that the pharmacokinetic properties of DMSA nust differ from those of DMPS.

Unite an excellent extensive toxicological study of DMP3 in rats has been reported by Planas-Bohne et al. (42), we are not aware of published work fealing with the toxicology properties of DMSA. Such work would be of further value in comparing the efficiency of these two useful dimercapto compounds.

We have not studied BAL under these same conditions since it is lipid soluble while DMPS and DMSA are water soluble. However, BAL is approximately 7 times more toxic than DMPS and 19 times more toxic than DMSA, based on the BAL LD50 of 0.726 mmol/kg, ip (43) and the data of this paper (Tables 6 and 7). Also, Hauser and Weger (44) have estimated BAL to be about 15 times more toxic than DMPS when each was given im to nice. They have also studied the influence of BAL and DMPS on the treatment of arsenic poisoning in nice.

It is of interest to note that the LD50 of DAPS, ip, in mice, as reported in this paper (Table 6) is 5.22 mmols/kg and is comparable to the value of 5.57 mmols/kg obtained by Kostygov (45) and 5.02 mmols/kg, ip, in rats, as reported recently by Planas-Bohne et al. (42).

The DASA LDSD, ip, in nice was found to be 13.53 mmols/kg (Table A) and compares favorably with 12.1 mmols/kg, ip, found in nice by Snih-Chun et al. (23) in Shanghai and Peking and 14.0 mmols/kg determined by Autsuda (45) in Japan. An LDSD in excess of 16.5 mmols/kg has been reported by Friedheim and Corvi (47). It is not clear whether this latter higher value is due to a difference in the mouse strains used or is due to a higher purity of DASA.

It is pertinent to point out that the meso form of DISA has been used in the present study and in most of the published reports concerning DISA. There is, however, one exception. DL-DMSA has been reported to be more active than meso-DMSA in causing an increase in the excretion of 203 M_{2} . $\mathrm{L}^{15}\mathrm{C}_{1}$, and $\mathrm{L}^{65}\mathrm{Z}_{0}$ when given to male rata challenged by these metals (34).

In conclusion. D'ISA and DMPS are very effective agents for protecting nice against the lethal effects of NaAsO₂. Limited published reports from the Soviet Union and mainland China have fealt with their effectiveness in humans intoxicated by heavy metals. DMSA has recently been shown by western investigators to be effective in treating lead intoxication of humans (43). Further human studies of these two dimercapto compounds is not unreasonable and should be encouraged.

These agents should be tested experimentally as antidotes for lewisite poisoning which our group is now planning with the Aberdeen BML.

Table 1. Protection by DMPS and other thiols against the lethal effects of sodium arsenite. The NaAsO $_2$ (0.14 mmoles/kg) was injected subcutaneously in the right rear leg. The chelating agent was administered ip immediately after the NaAsO $_2$. The chelating agents at these doses were not toxic, per se, as shown by the following data. When saline instead of NaAsO $_2$ was given, there was 100% survival of animals receiving the following compounds (mmoles/kg) ip: DMPS (0.80); BAL (0.25); DMSA (0.25); D-Pen (0.80); N-Ac-DL-Pen (0.80). There were at least 12 animals in each group.

Thiol Compound	Cumulative 21-day survival No. surviving/No. started					
(mmoles/kg)	Exp	Exp	Exp	Exp	Survival	
i p	I	II	III	IV	7/ /a	
(Saline)	0/12	0/12	0/12	0/12	0	
0.80 DMPS	12/12	8/8	12/12		100	
0.40 DMPS	12/12				100	
0.25 DMPS	12/12	12/12			100	
0.14 DMPS		12/12	9/12		87.5	
0.07 DMPS			8/12	11/12	79	
0.25 BAL	11/12			11/12	92	
0.14 BAL		1/12	1/12		8	
0.25 DMSA	12/12			12/12	100	
0.14 DMSA		12/12	8/12		83	
0.07 DMSA			6/12	10/12	67	
0.80 D-Pen			0/12		0	
0.25 D-Pen		0/12			0	
0.80 N-Ac-DL-Pen			0/12		0	
0.25 N-Ac-DL-Pen		0/12			0	

Table 2. Experimental therapy with DMPS or DMSA can be delayed after arsenic poisoning. All animals received $NaAsO_2$ (0.14 mmoles/kg) subcutaneously in the right rear leg. DMPS and DMSA were given ip. At the start of the experiment, when $NaAsO_2$ was given, there were 10 animals in each group. However, in three of the experimental groups, one animal died before DMPS or DMSA was administered. Therefore, those groups are listed with 9 instead of 10 started.

Dithiol and time after NaAsO ₂ it		21-day survival ng/No. started	Survival	
was given	Exp I	Exp II	%	
(Saline)	0/10	0/10	0	
0.25 DMPS				
at 60 min	9/10	7/9	84	
at 90 min	9/10	9/9	95	
at 120 min	8/10	9/10	85	
0.25 DMSA				
at 60 min	7/9	8/10	79	
at 90 min	9/10	10/10	95	
at 120 min	5/10	6/10	55	

Table 3. Prophylactic and oral activity of DMPS or DMSA. The NaAs 0_2 (0.14 mmoles/kg) was administered subcutaneously in the right rear leg. DMPS or DMSA was given orally fifteen minutes prior to the NaAs 0_2 . The survival of control animals receiving 1.0 mmoles of DMPS per kg and saline, instead of NaAs 0_2 , was 100%.

Thiol Compound		ative 21-day s urviving/No. s		Survival
(mmoles/kg) oral	Exp 1	Exp 2	Exp 3	%
(saline)	0/8	0/10	0/10	0
1.0 DMPS	8/8	8/10		89
0.75 DMPS		8/10		80
0.50 DMPS		6/10	10/10	80
0.25 DMPS		10/10	7/10	85
0.12 DMPS		0/10		0
1.0 DMSA	8/8			100
0.50 DMSA			10/10	100
0.25 DMSA			8/10	80
0.12 DMSA			4/10	40

TABLE 4
LOSO OF SODIUM ARGENITE IN THE MOUSE

NaAsO (mmol/kg,sc)	Exp. 1 <u>Dead</u> Started	Exp. 2 <u>Dead</u> Started	Summation <u>Dead</u> Started
0.03	3/3		3/3
0.09	3/3		3/3
3.13	3/3	. 0/12	3/23
J.11	2/3		0/3
0.12	1/3	2/12	3/20
3.13	3/3	7/12	10/20
3.14	7/3	12/12	19/20
3.16		12/12	12/12
L050 (mmol/kg)	0.1315	3. 1274	0.1290
951 Confidence Interval	(0.122,0.260)	(0.030,0.131)	(0.125,0.139

2 In subsequent tables of this paper, the data represent the combined results of a number of separate experiments. The combined results were used to calculate the LOSO listed in the tables. This has been done to take advantage of the larger number of animals, resulting from combination of the data, for calculation of median doses and statistical evaluation of data. In addition, it also saved space in this paper. The reason for the number of animals in some groups differing from the number in other groups in the same table is that very often the combined data are the result of from 2-4 separate experiments in which different numbers of animals were used in each experiment. Otherwise, the experiments were performed under identical conditions.

TABLE 5

2.3 DIMERCAPTO-1-PROPAGE SULFOMATE OR MESO-DIMERCAPTOSUCCINIC

ACID INCREASES THE LD50 OF SODIUM ARSENITE®

V-4-2	DMPS	DASA
NaAsO ₂ mmol/kg,se)	<u>Dead</u> Startei	<u>Dead</u> Started
0.35	0/12	2/24
0.40	3/24	3/24
J.45	0/12	3/35
0.45	2/12	****
0.50	3/24	3/24
0.55	13/24	11/35
0.50	13/24	15/35
0.65		10/12
0.79	23/24	33/35
0.75		12/12
D50 (mmol/kg)	0.538	0.573
5% Confidence Interval	(0.492,0.590)	(0.443,0.703)

aDMPS or DMSA, 0.30 nmol/kg, was given, ip, immediately after and 30 mins after NaAsO $_2$.

TABLE 5

LOSO OF DIMERCAPTOPROPANESULFONATE IN MICE

0.4PS (mmols/kg,ip)	<u>Dead</u> Started
3.3	0/3
4.0	3/3
5.0	7/16
5.5	5/3
5.0	7/3
5.5	15/16
7.0	3/3
3.3	3/3
LD50 (mmols/kg)	5.22
95% Confidence Interval	(4.35, 5.51)

TABLE 7

LD50 OF MESO-DIMERCAPTOSUCCINIC ACID IN MICE

OMSA (mmols/kg, ip)	<u>Dead</u> Started
5.0	3/32
12.0	3/32
13.0	3/12
14.0	3/12
15.3	19/24
13.0	17/20
24.0	32/32
LD50 (mmols/kg)	13.53
95% Confidence Interval	11.35,15.22

TABLE 8

DETERMINATION OF THE ED50 AND THERAPEUTIC INDEX OF 2.3-DIMERCAPTO-1-PROPAGE SULFONIC ACID, NaSALT, AND MESO-DIMERCAPTOSUCCINIC ACID WHEN GIVEN 10 MINUTES OR 35 MINUTES AFTER 0.15 MACLS ASO₂/KG

Dimercapto Agent	DMPS +10 min	DISA +10 min	DAPS +35 min	D:4SA +35 min
(:mmol/kg, ip)		number surviving	/number started	
0.010		2/24		3/12
0.015	3/35		3/33	
0.030	1/35	5/24 .	7/35	1/30
0.040		5/24		
0.045	5/24		3/24	
o.oso		10/24		
ე.ებე	5/24	13/24	13/24	5/39
0.0675	15/24			
0.070		9/23		
0.075	21/24		15/24	
ე. ევე		13/24		3/12
3.333	4.27.05		15/24	3/10
0.100				15/23
0.105	31/35		30/35	
0.120	35/36	Annual Control	34/35	3/12
0.125		21/24		` 13/17
0.150				21/30
0.150				5/30
3.200				37/45
0.300		market and will		35/33
ED50 (mmol/kg)	0.065	0.065	0.061	0.113
Confidence	(0.059-	(0.040-	(0.048-	(0.071-
Interval	0.072)	0.035)	0.072)	0.154)
Therapeutic				
Index	79	503	35	115

TABLE 9

4EITHER D-PENICILLAMINE NOR N-ACETYL-DL-PENICILLAMINE INCREASES THE LOSO OF SOCIUM ARSENITE³

	none	D-Pan	N-Ac-DL-Pan
NaAsO ₂ (nnols/kg, sc)	<u>Dead</u> Started	<u>Dead</u> Started	<u>Dead</u> Stantei
0.10	0/12	3/3	0/3
0.12	2/12	5/3	· 1/3
J.13	7/12	7/3	5/3
3.14	12/12	3/3	1/3
0.15	12/12	3/3	3/3
0.20		3/3	3/3
.050 (mmol/kg)	0.127	0.119	0.133
951 Confidence Interval	(0.030,0.131)	(0.073,0.191)	(0.054,0.142)
Combined LOSO (mmo	ol/kg)	0.125	
95% Confidence Interval		(0.1171,0.1313)	

 $^{^3}D$ -pen or 3D -pen (0.30 mmols/kg) was given, ip, immediately following and at 30 min after the metal binding agent.

POLYTHIOL COMPOUNDS THAT OF FOR TOUR PROTECT AGAINST THE LETHAL EFFECTS OF 0.14 MMDL SORUM ARSENITE PER KG3

Sulfhyinyl Compound Testei	Chamical Structura	Dose (mmol/kj)
Pentaerythritol tetrathioglycolate	C(CH ₂ DODCH ₂ =3H) ₄	2.52
Trimethylol propane trithioglycolate	CH ₃ CH ₂ C(CH ₂ DOCCH ₂ =3.H) ₃	0.30
Olycol dimercapto- propionata	H ₂ COOCCH ₂ CH ₂ =3 H H ₂ COOCCH ₂ CH ₂ =3 H	0.35
Blycol dimercapto- acapata	H ₂ COOCCH ₂ =3.4 H ₂ COOCCH ₂ =3.4	0.50
Trinstnylolethane trithioglycolate	CH3 C(CH2 DOCCH2 =3.4) 3	0.50
Pantaerythritol tatra- (3-marcaptopropionata)	೦(೧೫ ₂ ೨೦೦೦೫ ₂ ೧೫ ₂ −೩೫) ₄	0.50
Trimathylopropana tri- (3-marcaptopropionata)	3H ₃ 3H ₂ 3(3H ₂ 33033H ₂ 3H ₂ -3H) ₃	0.50

acach polythiol compound was dissolved in peanut oil and administered ip at the dose indicated. NaAsO₂ was given so. The nortality of animals receiving NaAsO₂ (0.14 mmol/kg) plus peanut oil or NaAsO₂ plus the indicated thiol compound was 100%. There was 0% mortality for animals receiving any one of the sulfhydryl compounds at the dose stipulated but in the absence of NaAsO₂. There were 3 mice per group.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Paters, R.A. and Stocken, L.A. (1947). Preparation and pharmacological properties of 4-hydroxy-methyl-2-(3'-amino-4'-hydroxyphenyl)-1,3-diathia-2-arsacyclopentane (hapharside-BAL compound). Biochem. J. 41, 53-55.
- 2. Chanoweth, 4.0. (1953). Clinical uses of metal-binding drugs. Clin.

 Pharmac. Ther. 9. 355-337.
- Jalsne, J.M. (1955). Penicillanine, a new oral therapy for Jilson's disease.
 Am. J. Med. 21, 437-495.
- 4. Aposhian, H.V. and Aposhian, M.M. (1959). N-acetyl-DL-penicillamine, a new oral protective agent against the lethal effects of mercuric chlorite.

 J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 126, 131-135.
- Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics (A.G. Gilman, L.S. Goodman and A.Gilman, eds.) pp. 1615-1537, Macmillan, New York.
- 6. Chisholm, J.J., Jr. (1957). Treatment of lead poisoning. Mod. Treat. 4.
- Joldblatt, D., Greenwood, M.R., and Clarkson, T.W. (1971). Chronic metallic mercury poisoning treated with N-acetyl-DL-penicillamine. <u>Neurology</u>. 21, 439.
- 8. Jalshe, J.M. and Cummings, J.N. (1961). <u>Wilson's Disease</u>, some current concepts. Blackwell, Oxford.
- 9. Patrunkin, V.E. (1956). Synthesis and properties of dimercapto derivatives of alkylsulfonic acids. <u>Ukr. Khim. Zhurn.</u> 22, 603-607.
- 10. Ashbel, S.I. (1959). Unithiol in prophylaxis and therapy of occupation conditioned poisoning with mercury and its organic compounds. In Tiologye soyedineniya v meditsine (N.N. Luganskiy, V.E. Petrunkin,

- P.V. Rodionov and A.J. Cherkes, eds.) pp. 151-153. Kiev: Gos. Med. Izd. Ukrain, 33R.
- 12. Belonozyko, J.A. (1953). Therapeutic action of unithiol in poisoning with inorganic mercury compounds. Farmakol. i Toksikol 21, 59-73.
- 13. Lunganski, M.I. and Loboda, Y.I. (1961). Effect of unithiol and its analogs on SH groups in the blood serum of rabbits in conditions of arsenic poisoning. <u>Ukrain</u>. <u>Biokhim</u>. <u>Zhurn</u>. (Kiev) 33, 315-325.
- 14. Charkes, A.I. and Braver-Charnobulskaya, B.S. (1953). Unithiol--A cobalt antidote. Farmakol. i Toksikol. (Moscow) 21, 59-53.
- 15. Okonishnikova, I.Y., Rozenberg, E.E., and Razina, I.A. (1976). The tharapautic-prophylactic effect of succiner in experimental subacute lead acetate poisoning. Gig. Tr. Prof. Zabol 3, 24-28.
- 16. Zotova, 4.3. (1953). Effect of unital on the elimination of $Po^{2/6}$, Med. Radiologiva 3, 67-63.
- 17. Sarkisian, A.A., Epremian, G.A., and Simavorian, P.S. (1971). Biochemical and morphologic changes in kidneys in chromium poisoning and therapeutic effectiveness of unithiol. <u>Zhurnal Eksperimentalnoi I.</u>
 Klinicheskoi Meditsiny. 11, 25-31.
- 18. Romanov, S.S., (1967). Unithiol as an antidote in pulmonary edema secondary to intravenous injection of silver nitrate. Farmakol. Toksikol. 30, 237-239.
- 19. Angelova, E. and Stoytchev, T. (1973). Experimental studies on the antitotal and copper-decorporating effects of Unithiol upon acute poisoning with copper sulfate and the influence of acidoses and alkaloses on these effects. <u>Bulgarian Acad. Sciences</u>. <u>15</u>, 179-136.
- 20. Gabard, 3. (1975). Treatment of methylmercury poisoning in the rat with solium 2.3-dimercaptopropane-1-sulfonate: Influence of dose and mode of

- administration. Toxicol. appl. Pharmacol. 33, 415-424.
- 21. Battistone, G.C., Miller, R.A., and Rubin, M. (1977). The use of 2,3, dimercaptopropane sodium sulfonate (DMPS) in mercury chelation therapy.

 In Clinical Chemistry and Chemical Toxicology of Metals (S.G. Brown, etc.) pp. 221-224. Elsevier Press.
- Jones, M.M., Basinger, M.A., Weaver, A.D., Davis, C.M., and Vaughn, M.K. (1930). Comparison of standard chelating agents for acute mercuric ahloride poisoning in mice. Research Commun. Chem. Path. and Pharm. 27, 353-372.
- 23. Tailock, C.H. and Aposhian, H.V. (1980). Protection of mice against the lethal effects of sodium arsenite by 2,3 dimercapto-1-propane-sulfonic acid and dimercaptosuccinic acid. <u>Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.</u>
 34, 501-507.
- 24. Jones, M.M., Weaver, A.D., and Weller, M.L. (1973). The relative effectiveness of some chelating agents as antidotes in acute cadmium poisoning. Res. Commun. Chem. Path. and Pharmacol. 22. 531-533.
- 25. Klinova, L.K. (1959). Materially k farmakologii unitiola. In: Tiolovye soyedineniya v meditsine. Ed.: N.N. Luganskiy, V.E. Petrunkin, P.V. Rodionov, and A.J. Cherkes. Gos. Med. Izd. Ukrain. SSR, Kiev. pp.135-133.
- 26. Gabard, 3. (1973). Distribution and excretion of the mercury chelating agent sodium 2,3-dimercaptopropane-1-sulfonate in the rat. Arch. Toxicol.

 39. 239-293.
- 27. Friedheim, E., DaSilva, J.R., and Martins, A.V. (1954). Treatment of Schistosomiasis Mansoni with antimony-a a-dimercapto-potassium succinate (TWSb). <u>Amer. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 3</u>, 714-727.

- 28. Shih-Chun, W., Kuang-Sheng, T., and Chih-Chung, I. (1965). Chelating therapy with NaDAS in occupational lead and mercury intoxication. Chin. <u>4ed</u>.

 <u>J.</u> 34, 437-439.
- 29. Okonishnikova, I.Y. (1965). Experimental therapy and prophylaxis of acute poisoning with arsenic compounds. Gig. Tr. Prof. Zabol 9, 33-43.
- 30. Friedheim, E. and Corvi, C. (1975). Teso-dimercaptosuccinic acid, a chelating agent for the treatment of nercury poisoning. <u>J. Pharm. Pharmac.</u> 27, 524-525.
- 31. Magos, L. (1975). The effects of dimercaptosuccinic acid on the excretion and distribution of mercury in rats and nice treated with mercuric chloride and methyl-mercury chloride. 3r. J. Pharmac. 55, 479-434.
- 32. Asseth, J. and Friedheim, E.A.H. (1973). Treatment of methyl mercury poisoning in nice with 2,3-dimercaptosuccinic acid and other complexing thiols. Acta Pharmocol. et Toxicol. 42, 248-252.
- 35. Graziano, J.H., Cuccia, D., and Friedheim, E. (1973). The pharmacology of
 2,3-dimercaptosuccinic acid and its potential use in arsenic poisoning.
 J. Pharmacol and Expt. Therap. 207, 1051-1055.
- 54. Egorova, L.G., Okonishnikova, I.E., Nirenburg, V.L., and Postovskii, I.Y. (1972). Complex formation of certain metals with dimercaptosuccinic acid stereoisomers. Khimiko Farmatseviticheskii Zhurnal. 6, 14-16.
- 35. Okonishnikova, I.Y. and Mirenburg, V.L. (1974). Absorption, distribution, and exerction of S-mesodimercaptosuccinic acid (succimer). In <u>Voprosy Eksp. Klin. Ter. Profil. Prom. Intoksikatsii</u>, (3.T. Velichkovskii, ed.), Sverdlovsk, USSR., pp. 11-14.
- 36. Dixon, W.I. and Brown, M.B. (1979). <u>Biomed. Comp. Prog. P-Saries</u>.
 Univ. California Press, Los Angeles.

- 37. Finney, D.J. (1955). Experimental Design and Analysis of Experiments, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- 38. 3t. Patery, J., Rannert, O.M., Choi, H., and Wolfson, S. (1979). <u>Clin.</u>
 <u>Toxicol.</u> 3, 519-526.
- 39. Kuruvilla, A., Bergeson, P.S., and Done, A.K. (1975). <u>Clin. Toxicol. 3</u>, 535-540.
- 40. Peterson, R.G. and Rumack, B.H. (1977). J. Padiatr., 91, 661-666.
- 41. Catsch, A. and Marmuth-Hoene, A.E. (1979). Pharmacology and Therapeutic Applications of Agents Used in Heavy Metal Poisoning in The Chelation of Heavy Metals. (W.G. Levine, ed.) pp. 107-224, Pergamon Press, Oxford.
- 42. Planas-Bohne, F., Gabard, B., and Schaffer, E.H. (1980). Toxicological studies on sodium 2,3-dimercaptopropane-1-sulfonate in the rat. Arzaim.
 Forsch.-Drug Res. 30, 1291-1294.
- 43. Zvirblis, P. and Ellin, R.I. (1975). Acute systemic toxicity of pure dimercaprol and trimercapto propane. <u>Toxicol</u>. <u>Appl. Pharmacol</u>. 36, 397-399.
- 44. Hauser, W. and Weger, N. (1973). 7th Internatl. Congr. Pharmacol. Paris,

 Treatment of arsenic poisoning in mice with sodium dimercapto-1-sulfonate.
- 45. Kostygov, N.M. (1953). The antidotal action of mercaptosuccinic acid and Unithiol against mercury. Farmakol. i Toksikol. 21, 64-69.
- 46. Matsuda, Y. (1953). Experimental study on sodium dimercaptosuccinic acid.

 Gifu Daigaku Igakubu Kiyo 1, 859-333.
- 47. Friedheim, E., Corvi, C., and Wakker, C.H. (1975). Meso-dimercaptosuccinic acid a chelating agent for the treatment of mercury and lead poisoning.

 J. Pharm. Pharmac. 29, 711-712.
- 48. Friedhaim, E., Graziano, J.H., Popovac, D., Dragovic, D., and Kaul, 3.

- (1973). Treatment of lead poisoning by 2,3-dimercaptosuccinic acid.

 Lancet II, 1234-1235.
- 49. Elsas, L.J., Hayslett, J.P. Spargo, 3.H., Durant, J.L., and Rosenberg, L.E. (1971). Wilson's disease with reversable renal tubular dysfunction.

 Correlation with proximal tubular ultrastructure. Ann. Intern. Med. 75, 427-433.

GLOSSARY

Abbreviations:

DMPS 2,3 dimercapto-1-propane-sulfonic acid, Na salt

DMSA dimercaptosuccinic acid

BAL British Antilewisite or 2,3-dimercaptopropanol

D-penicillamine

N-Ac-DL-Pen N-acetyl-DL-penicillamine

Distribution List

4 copies:

Commander

US Army Medical Research and Development Command

ATTN: SGRD-RMS

Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21701

5 copies:

Commander

US Army Medical Research and Development Command

ATTN: SGRD-PLE

Fort Detrick, Frederick, MD 21701

12 copies:

Administrator

Defense Technical Information Center

ATTN: DTIC-DDA Cameron Station

Alexandria, VA 22314

1 copy:

Commandant

Academy of Health Sciences, US Army

ATTN: AHS-CDM

Fort Sam Houston, TX 78234

1 copy:

Dean, School of Medicine

Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences

4301 Jones Bridge Road Bethesda, MD 20014